

# Precedence for the Structural Role of Flagella in Biofilms

Thomas K. Wood 2013. Precedence for the Structural Role of Flagella in Biofilms . mBio 4(2): . doi:10.1128/mBio.00225-13.

Updated information and services can be found at: http://mbio.asm.org/content/4/2/e00225-13.full.html

REFERENCES	This article cites 4 articles, 1 of which can be accessed free at: http://mbio.asm.org/content/4/2/e00225-13.full.html#ref-list-1
CONTENT ALERTS	Receive: RSS Feeds, eTOCs, free email alerts (when new articles cite this article), more>>

Information about commercial reprint orders: http://mbio.asm.org/misc/reprints.xhtml Information about Print on Demand and other content delivery options: http://mbio.asm.org/misc/contentdelivery.xhtml To subscribe to another ASM Journal go to: http://journals.asm.org/subscriptions/



# Precedence for the Structural Role of Flagella in Biofilms

## Thomas K. Wood

Departments of Chemical Engineering & Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania, USA

Continuing the trend of impressive results using microscopy to decipher how biofilms form, Serra et al. recently discovered that flagella in biofilms serve a structural role (1). In *Escherichia coli* colonies on a relatively cold agar surface (28°C), Serra et al. found in some regions that flagella wrapped around cells and anchored them; hence, the flagella play a role in cementing cells together like polysaccharides, DNA, and protein. However, this new role for flagella is not unprecedented, since my colleagues and I showed in 2007 that 20 *E. coli* flagellum genes are regulated throughout biofilm formation in flow cells (2). Our manuscript was the first report of the importance of flagella in mature biofilms, and we deduced that flagella were "important throughout all stages of biofilm development from early attachment as well as in developed biofilms."

It may be argued that Serra et al. studied the spatial organization of cells in a colony, whereas we used gene expression profiling in a temporal manner, or that there is a difference in the biofilms that were studied (flow cells at 37°C versus agar plates at 28°C), but the point remains the same: in mature biofilms, flagella were shown years ago to likely play an important role beyond that of motility to a surface to initiate biofilm formation since the genes for flagella were turned on in nonmotile biofilm cells. Hence, it is satisfying to see that one of those roles has now been discerned.

On a less important note about attribution in the same *mBio* paper, we used gene expression profiling to determine that a previously unstudied protein, YmgB, was related to biofilm formation and to acid resistance influenced by indole; we also determined how it functions at the atomic scale by determining its structure and renamed it AriR for regulator of acid resistance influenced by indole (3). Determination of its structure was important for determining that it acts as a regulator, since although it is only 5% identical to Hha (a protein that interacts with histone-like nucleoid structuring protein H-NS to regulate transcription), the structures of AriR and Hha are closely related, so the function of AriR as a regulator was not clear until a structure was determined (3). In Fig. 1 of the same *mBio* paper of Serra et al., the authors

continue to use the older name YmgB for this protein, which ignores the original contribution about its regulatory function, even though all of their follow-up studies confirm the role that AriR plays in acid resistance (and for which it was named). Also, recently Tschowri et al. authored a work related to AriR but did not mention our original work with this protein (4). Of course, follow-up papers add new mechanistic insight into what was originally discerned; for example, it is now more clear that AriR works through the Rcs system to regulate acid resistance. Therefore, although it is perhaps quixotic, one hopes eternally as a scientist that, as details emerge on important systems (arguably, acid resistance is one of the most important phenotypes of E. coli), the original research may be cited. Citing the original and transformative work does not detract from the current work and provides perspective (as well as sometimes a means of livelihood for the original authors).

### REFERENCES

- Serra DO, Richter AM, Klauck G, Mika F, Hengge R. 2013. Microanatomy at cellular resolution and spatial order of physiological differentiation in a bacterial biofilm. mBio 4(2):e00103-13. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128 /mBio.00103-13.
- 2. Domka J, Lee J, Bansal T, Wood TK. 2007. Temporal gene-expression in *Escherichia coli* K-12 biofilms. Environ. Microbiol. 9:332–346.
- Lee J, Page R, García-Contreras R, Palermino J-M, Zhang X-S, Doshi O, Wood TK, Peti W. 2007. Structure and function of the *Escherichia coli* protein YmgB: a protein critical for biofilm formation and acid resistance. J. Mol. Biol. 373:11–26.
- Tschowri N, Lindenberg S, Hengge R. 2012. Molecular function and potential evolution of the biofilm-modulating blue light-signalling pathway of *Escherichia coli*. Mol. Microbiol. 85:893–906.

#### Published 23 April 2013

**Citation** Wood TK. 2013. Precedence for the structural role of flagella in biofilms. mBio 4(2):e00225-13. doi:10.1128/mBio.00225-13.

**Copyright** © 2013 Wood. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported license, which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. Address correspondence to tuw14@psu.edu.