Pseudogene product YqiG is important for pflB expression and biohydrogen production in Escherichia coli BW25113
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Abstract
Pseudogenes in the Escherichia coli genome are assumed to be non-functional. In this study, Keio collection BW25113ΔyqiG and YqiG-producing strain (BW25113/pCA24N-YqiG) were used to evaluate the importance of pseudogene yqiG in hydrogen metabolism. Our results show pseudogene protein YqiG was identified as an essential protein in the production of biohydrogen from glucose. The mutant yqiG decreased biohydrogen production from 37 µmol mg−1 protein to 6 µmol mg−1 protein compared to the wild-type strain, and glucose consumption was reduced by 80%. Through transcriptional analysis, we found that the yqiG mutation represses pflB transcription tenfold; pflB encodes pyruvate-formate lyase, one of the key enzymes in the anaerobic metabolism of E. coli. Moreover, production of YqiG stimulated glycolysis and increased biohydrogen productivity 1.5-fold compared to that of the wild-type strain. Thus, YqiG is important for the central glycolysis reaction and is able to influence hydrogen metabolism activity in E. coli.
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Introduction
Hydrogen is an environmental friendly, ecologically clean and renewable energy source, and many studies have been performed using Escherichia coli for metabolic engineering and protein engineering for enhancing hydrogen production (Maeda et al. 2008; Sanchez-Torres et al. 2009). However, there are still some uncharacterized proteins related to biological hydrogen (biohydrogen) production by E. coli (Mohd Yusoff et al. 2012, 2013). In addition, there is potential for substantial enhancements for biohydrogen production from glucose or glycerol (Maeda et al. 2018). E. coli remains attractive for engineering to increase biohydrogen due to its accessibility for gene manipulation and modification for specific pathways to produce fermentation products (Seol et al. 2014; Nakashima et al. 2014). In addition, a single gene knock-out strain library known as the Keio collection is available (Baba et al. 2006), and these mutants have been used in metabolic engineering studies to investigate the specific genes of interest (Mohd Yusoff et al. 2012).

There are more than 4500 genes in the E. coli genome and 178 of the genes are categorised as pseudogenes (Zhou and Rudd 2013). Pseudogenes are prevalent (found between 1 and 5% of genomes) and are considered non-functional (Balakirev and Ayala 2003). Throughout evolution, their function has faded due to interruption of transcription and translation. Hence, pseudogenes are considered as genomic junk due to mutations such as inappropriate stop codons, repetitive elements, frame
shifts, missense mutations, and lack of transcription elements (Balakirev and Ayala 2003). Moreover, a study of 64 prokaryote genomes including 7000 pseudogene candidates found at least 1–5% arise from large protein families which include the PPE families, ABC transporters, and cytochrome P450, and some pseudogenes have been found in pathogenic bacteria such as in *Mycobacterium leprae*; the conclusion was that the presence of pseudogenes is rare and assumed to be minimal (Liu et al. 2004).

According to Rouchka and Cha (2009), pseudogenes are classified into processed and unprocessed pseudogenes. Processed pseudogenes are from retro transposable events of reverse transcription of mRNA followed by retrotransposition into the genome. This type of pseudogene usually lacks intron regions and consists of flanking repeated regions and poly-A tracts at the carboxyl 3′ end (Pink et al. 2011). 20% of processed pseudogenes are 90% similar to mRNA of beta-actin in humans (Bjarnadottir and Jonsson 2005). Meanwhile, improper duplication leads to the production of unprocessed pseudogenes. The unprocessed pseudogenes normally contain introns and regulatory sequences including stop codons, which halt their expression (Pink et al. 2011). Natural transposition events relocate insertion-sequences (IS) (Zhou and Rudd 2013) and this movement can interrupt gene regulation. Some of the IS elements stimulate the formation of hybrid promoters and activate the expression of neighbouring genes in *E. coli* (Barker et al. 2004), while some IS elements can inactivate the expression of genes (Griffiths et al. 2012; Barker et al. 2004).

*yqiG* is categorised as a pseudogene in *E. coli* genome due to its internal IS elements, insC9 and insD9 (Zhou and Rudd 2013) and it is one of four *E. coli* pseudogenes that has a key role in hydrogen production in *E. coli* (Mohd Yusoff et al. 2013). However, a detailed understanding of how the pseudogene products influence biohydrogen production is lacking (Maeda et al. 2018). In this study, *YqiG* was produced to determine how it increases biohydrogen production.

### Materials and methods

#### Bacteria strains and maintenance

The strains used in this study are described in Table 1. Wild-type strains of *E. coli* BW25113 and the mutant *yqiG*, JW5507 (BW25113Δ*yqiG::*kan) were obtained from the National Institute of Genetics. The overexpressed and complemented strains (BW25113/pCA243N-*YqiG* and BW25113Δ*yqiG*/pCA242N-*YqiG*) were constructed by introducing plasmid pCA24N harbouring *yqiG* obtained from the ASKA library (Kitagawa et al. 2005) which was also provided by the National Institute of Genetics. All strains were routinely streaked onto Luria–Bertani (LB) agar plates with the addition of 100 µg mL⁻¹ of kanamycin for mutant *yqiG* as described previously (Mohd Yusoff et al. 2013). Meanwhile, 30 µg mL⁻¹ chloramphenicol was added to strains that carry the ASKA plasmid. A single colony was inoculated into LB medium supplemented with an appropriate antibiotic and grown overnight in an incubator shaker at 37 °C, which was then agitated at 120 rpm. The overnight culture was used as an inoculum for the biohydrogen production.

#### Plasmid isolation, competent cell formation, and electroporation

The plasmid pCA24N was isolated from ASKA JW5507 strain (Kitagawa et al. 2005) and purified using the QIAprep Spin Miniprep kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA). Competent cells were prepared as described by Datsenko and Wanner (2000). Five microlitres of purified plasmid was gently mixed with 50 µL of competent cells before electroporation took place using a Bio-Rad Gene Pulser II unit at 12.5 kV cm⁻¹ (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). The electroporated cells were immediately re-suspended into 1 mL of LB broth and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h in a dry-bath incubator. The culture was then spread onto LB agar containing 30 µg mL⁻¹ chloramphenicol and further incubated at 37 °C overnight. A single colony from the overnight plate was purified by streaking it onto LB plate with an appropriate antibiotic.

### Table 1 Strains and plasmids used in the present work

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains and plasmids</th>
<th>Genotype/relevant characteristics</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BW25113</td>
<td>F”Δ(araD-araB)567Δlacz4787 (:::rrnB-3)Δrrp-1Δ(rhaD-rhaB)568</td>
<td>Baba et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW25113 <em>yqiG</em></td>
<td>BW25113Δ<em>yqiG::</em> KmR</td>
<td>Baba et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW25113/pCA24N-*YqiG</td>
<td>Overexpressed YqiG phenotype in wild-type</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW25113Δ<em>yqiG</em>/pCA242N-*YqiG</td>
<td>Complementation YqiG phenotype in mutant <em>yqiG</em> via pCA24N</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASKA JW5507</td>
<td>Harbouring YqiG CmR</td>
<td>Kitagawa et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*KmR* kanamycin resistance, *CmR* chloramphenicol resistance
**E. coli growth and biohydrogen production**

The fermentation medium was prepared using 5.0 g yeast extract, 5.0 g tryptone, 7.0 g K₂HPO₄, 5.5 g KH₂PO₄, 0.5 g L-cysteine-HCl·H₂O, 1.0 g (NH₄)₂SO₄, 0.25 g MgSO₄·7H₂O, 0.021 g CaCl₂·2H₂O, 0.029 g Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, 0.039 g Fe(NH₄)₂SO₄·6H₂O, 2.0 mg nicotinic acid, 0.172 mg Na₂SeO₃, 0.02 mg NiCl₂, and 10 mL of trace element solution containing 0.5 g MnCl₂·4H₂O, 0.1 g H₃BO₃, 0.01 g AlK(SO₄)·2H₂O, 0.001 g CuCl₂·2H₂O, and 0.5 g Na₂EDTA (Rachman et al. 1997) in 1 L with glucose (111 mM) or formate (100 mM) as the carbon source. The fermentation medium had an initial neutral pH (7.0 ± 0.2). One millilitre of overnight culture was inoculated into 9 mL of fermentation medium in 34 mL crimp-top serum vials. The mixture was sparged with nitrogen for 5 min prior to incubation (Maeda et al. 2008). The fermentation for biohydrogen quantification was conducted at 37 °C with three independent experiments. Cell growth was determined based on the optical density measured at 600 nm using a UV/Vis spectrophotometer (JASCO V-530).

**Strain verification**

A single colony of mutant yqiG was transferred into 20 µL sterilised water and boiled for 5 min before the solution was used as a template for polymerase chain reaction (PCR). PCR verification with kanamycin-specific primers k1, k2 and locus-specific primers was conducted as described elsewhere (Datsenko and Wanner 2000). All primers used for strain verification are listed in Table 2. The PCR verification was performed to confirm the absence of the yqiG gene and the presence of kanamycin gene in the yqiG mutant.

**RNA extraction and quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR**

Cells were collected during the log phase of fermentation for biohydrogen production. RNA later solution was added into a 1-mL sample at a ratio of 1:1 in screw cap tubes. The mixture was centrifuged at 11,963×g for 2 min with the supernatant discarded. The cell pellets obtained were immersed in 100 mL of ethanol with dry ice about 10 s and the cells were kept at −70 °C prior to RNA isolation. RNA was isolated using the RNeasy kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA) as previously described (Mohd Yusoff et al. 2012). A quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed to evaluate transcription of the targeted genes (Table 2). Three replicates samples (50 ng µL⁻¹) were analysed using rrsG as a reference for normalisation of the qRT-PCR data (Hagglom et al. 2002). Expression levels were analysed using the delta–delta method (Pfaffl 2001).

**Analytical procedures**

**Organic acid and glucose determination**

At the end of each fermentation, samples were centrifuged and the supernatant was filtered using a syringe filter (0.45 µm) (Sartorius, Germany). The filtered samples were

### Table 2 Primers used for transcriptional analysis and strain verification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targeted gene</th>
<th>Sequences</th>
<th>Relevant characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rrsG</td>
<td>f-5'-TATGCACAATGGCGCAAG-3'</td>
<td>Housekeeping gene for expression data (Mohd Yusoff et al. 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r-5'-ACCTACAACCGCCTCGGT-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fihF</td>
<td>f-5'-GGATTTCTACGTGCGACTTAC-3'</td>
<td>Catalyse HCOO⁻ and water to hydrogen (Maeda et al. 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r-5'-GGTACTCGTGCTAGTTTGTTC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fhlA</td>
<td>f-5'-ATCAGCTGACTGCACCTAAAG-3'</td>
<td>Transcriptional activator for FHL complex (Baba et al. 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r-5'-GAATACGGTGACGCGTAATAGAG-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hycE</td>
<td>f-5'-CTACCTGAAATCTGTTGAC-3'</td>
<td>Large subunit of hydrogenase 3 (Sanchez-Torres et al. 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r-5'-CGACGTTATCTGCGATGTTTGC-3'</td>
<td>Repressor gene for FHL complex (Bagramyan and Trchounian 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hycA</td>
<td>f-5'-ACGACTTTATAGTTGATACCG-3'</td>
<td>Large subunit of hydrogenase 2 (Forzi and Sawers 2007; Menon et al. 1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r-5'-AGTTTATCTGCGAATGGAAGTG-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hybC</td>
<td>f-5'-AGCCGTTTGATACCTACCTC-3'</td>
<td>Large subunit of hydrogenase 1 (Forzi and Sawers 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r-5'-CAAGGTGATAAGTGCACAGC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hyaB</td>
<td>f-5'-GGGCTTCTGGTACGGTATCTC-3'</td>
<td>Large subunit of hydrogenase 1 (Forzi and Sawers 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r-5'-CAAGGTGATAAGTGCACAGC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pflB</td>
<td>f-5'-CGGTACCTACCTGTAGGAAG-3'</td>
<td>Conversion of pyruvate to formate (this study)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r-5'-CATAGGTGCTGACGCTATTTC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yqiG</td>
<td>f-5'-TATGGAATCCCTCTGTTTTC-3'</td>
<td>Confirm deletion of yqiG gene (this study)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r-5'-CTTACGTAGCGCCATAGCTACT-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k1</td>
<td>5'-CGGTGGAATGGCGCCCAAG-3'</td>
<td>Confirmation of the presence of kanamycin gene (Datsenko and Wanner 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k2</td>
<td>5'-CCGGCCCTGGAATGACTGC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
analysed using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC); Shimadzu LC-10AD with a conductivity detector CDD-6A equipped with a Shim-packed SCR-102H (8.0 mm LD × 300 mm L) × 2 column (Mohd Yasin et al. 2013). Glucose concentrations were determined using the Glucose C2 Kit (Wako, Osaka). A 20-µL filtered sample was added into 2.5 mL buffer solution. Then, the mixtures were incubated at 37 °C for 5 min. The optical density of the cells was measured at specific absorbance of 505 nm. Glucose standard curve was plotted to determine the glucose concentration in the samples.

**Biohydrogen analysis**

The biohydrogen produced in the headspace was measured using a 6890 N (Agilent Technologies, Glastonbury, CT) gas chromatograph equipped with an 80–100 mesh Porapak Q column (Suppelco, Bellefonte, PA) and a thermal conductivity detector. Nitrogen gas was used as the carrier at a flow rate of 20 mL min⁻¹. Column, injector, and detector temperature were maintained at 70 °C, 100 °C, and 200 °C, respectively (Mohd Yasin et al. 2013).

**Results and discussion**

**Mutant yqiG reduced biohydrogen production**

In a preliminary study, biohydrogen was assayed for mutant yqiG of the Keio collection (BW25113 ∆yqiG), the YqiG-producing strain (BW25113/pCA24N-YqiG), the complemented strain (BW25113 ∆yqiG/pCA24N-YqiG), and wild-type (BW25113) using glucose as a carbon source. Biohydrogen production was detected after 2 h for the wild-type (maximum normalized productivity of 38 µmol H₂ mg⁻¹ protein at 7 h), whereas mutant yqiG showed the lowest biohydrogen generation (1.9 µmol H₂ mg⁻¹ protein) (Supplementary Fig. S1). The result confirms biohydrogen production ability was diminished by the deficiency of YqiG phenotype (Mohd Yusoff et al. 2013). However, biohydrogen production from the strain producing YqiG was lower at the beginning but eventually became higher after 7 h (Supplementary Fig. S1). The productivity reached 60 µmol H₂ mg⁻¹ protein. The lower biohydrogen observed at 3 h fermentation indicates that YqiG plays an important role in the beginning of glycolysis process in biohydrogen metabolism or at least plays a role in catalysing the conversion of glucose into essential by-products for biohydrogen synthesis.

Higher amounts of absolute biohydrogen were obtained for the strains producing YqiG (Fig. 1), including BW25113 ∆yqiG; hence, the yqiG mutation could be complemented. Also, higher biohydrogen production was observed for the wild-type strain producing YqiG compared to the wild-type strain: 34 µmol h⁻¹ vs. 25 µmol h⁻¹, respectively. Hence, YqiG increases biohydrogen productivity.

**Overexpression of YqiG enhanced biohydrogen production during glycolysis**

Biohydrogen production from mutant yqiG was further explored using glucose and formate as carbon sources. Table 3 shows a summary of the biohydrogen productivity, growth rate, and substrate consumption for BW25113, BW25113 ∆yqiG, BW25113/pCA24N-YqiG, and BW25113 ∆yqiG/pCA24N-YqiG. Different trends were obtained in formate medium compared to glucose; for example, the complementation strain showed slightly lower biohydrogen productivity compared to the wild-type strain (Table 3). In addition, for the yqiG mutant, 219.6 µmol H₂ mg⁻¹ protein was observed from fermentation with formate compared to 6 µmol H₂ mg⁻¹ with glucose. This shows that the mutant has the ability to consume formate better than glucose. Formate is the main intermediate for the formate hydrogen lyase in hydrogen metabolism (Bagramyan and Trchounian 2003). This result suggests that the mutant has a deficiency in converting glucose into formate. To investigate this further, we assayed glucose consumption and found the highest glucose consumption was in BW25113/pCA24N-YqiG followed by BW25113∆yqiG/pCA24N-YqiG (Table 3). Corroborating this result, the lowest glucose consumption was observed with the wild-type strain (0.85 h⁻¹) (Table 3).

The yqiG mutation also does not affect growth with formate (Table 3). Thus, the absence of yqiG was not involved in the growth-related phenotype. In addition, the growth of all strains was the same in formate, than 1.0 h⁻¹,
which was in line with a previous study (Maeda et al. 2008) reporting the reduced growth of E. coli in formate medium, especially in the presence of IPTG. Together, these results show YqiG plays an important role at the early stage of glycolysis and perhaps influences the synthesis of components of biohydrogen production such as maturation proteins and hydrogenase. Thus, a transcriptional analysis was performed to determine the expression level of specific genes during biohydrogen metabolism with the yqiG mutant.

**By-products and hydrogen metabolism**

Figure 2 shows the possible pathway of glucose fermentation and biohydrogen production in E. coli. Acidic pH is one of crucial factors in biohydrogen yield (Ghimire et al. 2018); a high concentration of lactic acid in the fermentation medium reduces the culture pH, thus affecting biohydrogen production. Bacterial growth is suppressed since undissociated forms of acid will influence the cell internal pH (Jonsson et al. 2013). In our work, four organic acids were detected in the fermentation broth during biohydrogen production, namely succinic acid, lactic acid, acetic acid, and formic acid (Table 4). Lactic acid dominated all strains (20–43 mM), while the yqiG strain produced the lowest amount of lactic acid. Lactate dehydrogenase encoded by ldhA is responsible for the conversion of pyruvate to lactic acid in glycolysis (Fig. 2). Critically, the strain producing YqiG (BW25113/pCA24N-YqiG) produced the highest amount of lactic acid (43 mM). It appears that YqiG promotes the utilisation of glucose for generating succinate and lactate through fumarate reductase (frdC) and ldhA activity, respectively (Tran et al. 2014). These reactions occur prior to the conversion of pyruvate into formate.

Formic acid was not detected for the wild-type and other strains since all the formate generated was converted into biohydrogen through the pyruvate formate lyase system (PFL). However, small amounts of formic acid (13 mM) were detected for the yqiG mutant at the end of the fermentation. The small amount of formate was probably insufficient to be converted into biohydrogen through pyruvate-formate

### Table 3: Biohydrogen productivity, glucose consumption, and specific growth rate during anaerobic fermentations with glucose and formate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains</th>
<th>Glucose Productivity (µmol H₂ mg⁻¹ protein)</th>
<th>Glucose Specific growth rate (h⁻¹)</th>
<th>Glucose reduction (%)</th>
<th>Formate Productivity (µmol H₂ mg⁻¹ protein)</th>
<th>Formate Specific growth rate (h⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BW25113</td>
<td>37 ± 2</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.03</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>250.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW25113ΔyqiG</td>
<td>6 ± 1</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.03</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>219.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW25113/pCA24N-YqiG</td>
<td>56 ± 3</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.05</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>279.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW25113ΔyqiG/pCA24N-YqiG</td>
<td>46 ± 12</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.02</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>211.5 ± 11</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4: Distribution of organic acids after 24 h fermentation with glucose**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains</th>
<th>Succinic acid (mM)</th>
<th>Lactic acid (mM)</th>
<th>Acetic acid (mM)</th>
<th>Formic acid (mM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BW25113</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>27.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>14.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW25113ΔyqiG</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0</td>
<td>22 ± 3</td>
<td>7.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>13.1 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW25113/pCA24N</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>32 ± 2</td>
<td>13 ± 2</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW25113/pCA24N-YqiG</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>43 ± 1</td>
<td>21.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW25113ΔyqiG/pCA24N-YqiG</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>32 ± 1</td>
<td>16.4 ± 1.6</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ND not detected from HPLC analysis*
lyse encoded by \textit{pflB} (Hallenbeck and Ghosh 2009). This is supported in that with high formate concentrations (100 mM) a maximum of 219 µmol H₂ mg⁻¹ protein was produced from mutant \textit{yqiG} (Table 3). In formate metabolism under anaerobic conditions, PFL was induced and cleaved pyruvate to produce formate and acetyl-CoA (Sinha et al. 2015). On the other hand, synthesis of PFL was coordinated by the synthesis of formate transport protein encoded by \textit{focA} (Beyer et al. 2013). A deletion of \textit{focA} has resulted in accumulation of intracellular formate (Fan et al. 2009). Thus, it can be assumed that there are synergistic effects between the deficiency of \textit{YqiG} and glycolysis flux in hydrogen metabolism, which may influence the decrease of biohydrogen production in the mutant \textit{yqiG}.

**Transcriptional analysis during biohydrogen production**

Several genes are involved in biohydrogen production from glucose in \textit{E. coli}. Biohydrogen is mainly produced from formate by formate hydrolysis (FHL) complex. The FHL complex is composed of hydrogenase 3 (\textit{hycE} encodes large subunits) (Bagramyan and Trchounian 2003), formate dehydrogenase encoded by \textit{fdhF} (Sanchez-Torres et al. 2009), and maturation proteins coded by \textit{hyc} genes (Sinha et al. 2015). The FHL converts formate into 2H⁺, 2e⁻, and carbon dioxide. On the other hand, \textit{HycA} is the repressor of the FHL complex (Bagramyan and Trchounian 2003) that can be activated by FhlA (Sanchez-Torres et al. 2009). Most of the potential genes involved in hydrogen metabolism were used as targeted genes in the transcriptional analysis study.

The RNA was isolated during the exponential phase of biohydrogen production (after 4–5 h), and the transcriptional analysis demonstrated the highest difference in regulation occurred for the \textit{pflB} gene for the mutant \textit{yqiG} compared to wild-type (10-fold repression, Fig. 3). The down regulation indicates \textit{YqiG} stimulates \textit{pflB} transcription either directly or indirectly. Moreover, production of \textit{YqiG} restored \textit{pflB} expression (Fig. 3). In addition, the mutant \textit{yqiG} had down-regulation of \textit{hyaB} and \textit{hybC} that encode for the large subunits of hydrogenase 1 and hydrogenase 2, respectively (Forzi and Sawers 2007). HyxA and HybC actively participate in the utilisation of biohydrogen via an energy-conservation pathway (Noguchi et al. 2010). Thus, the down regulation of these genes in mutant \textit{yqiG} can be neglected.

The repression of \textit{pflB} in the mutant \textit{yqiG} suggested that the \textit{YqiG} has an interaction in formate metabolism. It appears that the role of \textit{pflB} was interrupted during glycolysis, whereas the function of \textit{pflB} is to receive high flux levels in the glycolytic pathway and convert it into formate for biohydrogen production through FHL complex system. Inactivation of intermediate steps in glycolysis may divert the reactions in the central metabolic network towards other reactions leading to the accumulation of other by-products (Meza et al. 2012). In addition, the phosphotransferase system is involved in transporting many sugars (e.g., glucose) through phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP). The phosphoryl group on PEP is transferred to imported sugars via several proteins. PEP is catalysed by pyruvate kinase (PykF) generating pyruvate and then formate via \textit{pflB} (Muñoz and Ponce 2003). PEP is the key central metabolism intermediate involved in glucose transportation in several biosynthetic pathways and in allosteric regulation of glycolytic enzymes (Campos-Bermudez et al. 2010). Hence, without \textit{YqiG}, high flux levels in the glycolytic pathway cannot be sustained, reducing the conversion efficiency of pyruvate into formate and affecting biohydrogen generation.

Protein purification of \textit{YqiGt} was performed using sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS–PAGE) to confirm \textit{yqiG} is converted into functional protein. The analysis was done using \textit{yqiG/pCA24N-YqiG} with 1 mM IPTG. However, there was no targeted protein observed after purification (Supplementary Fig. S2). This suggested that \textit{YqiG} is in outer membrane of \textit{E. coli} due to the difficulty in protein separation (Kitagawa et al. 2005). Through protein sequencing analysis, the secondary structure of hydrophathy, amphipathicity, and N-terminal targeting sequence was investigated. Most of the outer membrane proteins have β-barrel three-dimensional structures. Hence, a β-barrel finder (BFF) was created to predict the presence of β-barrel proteins in the \textit{E. coli} genome. As a result, the \textit{YqiG} protein was predicted to belong to a fimbrial export usher protein family and considered as an outer membrane usher protein. \textit{YqiG} is also predicted to be involved in the export and assembly of a fimbrial subunits across the outer

---

**Fig. 3** Fold change in promoter activity during transcriptional analysis of targeted genes (\textit{hycA}, \textit{hycE}, \textit{fdhF}, \textit{fhlA}, \textit{hybC}, \textit{hyaB}, and \textit{pflB}) using quantitative real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)
membrane. This subunit is related to pilus formation for cell adhesion (Nuccio and Bäumler 2007). Due to lack of YqiG function in the yqiG mutant, perhaps some of the transportation activities between inner and outer cells in E. coli metabolism were disrupted leading to the reduction in biohydrogen production.

Indeed, YqiG is not solely responsible for the conversion of formate into biohydrogen. An impaired relationship between formate reduction and down regulation in pflB shows that YqiG might not absolutely control PFL regulation, but instead has an essential role in the central glycolysis. Interrelation of protein activities should be considered to understand the influence of uncharacterised proteins such as YqiG in hydrogen metabolism. Putting together the connection between fimbrial usher proteins and glycolytic pathways may answer how YqiG functions in E. coli. As a working model, the yqiG gene product might be related to the formation of pilus in the outer membrane, which may then affect biohydrogen productivity since some of hydrogenase enzymes are membrane associated (Pandelia et al. 2010). Indirectly, YqiG influenced the conversion of phosphoenolpyruvate to pyruvate and conversion of pyruvate to formate, which was an important precursor for biohydrogen. Thus, further investigation is required to identify how mutant YqiG increases biohydrogen production from glucose. A global transactional analysis using microarray or nextgeneration of pyrosequencing including MiSeq analysis would be an important study for a detailed explanation on the role of pseudogene in hydrogen metabolism.

Conclusions

Inactivation of YqiG reduces biohydrogen in E. coli, and this defect may be complemented. Based on transcriptional analysis, we show here the yqiG mutation represses pflB transcription; PflB is involved in the conversion of pyruvate into formate. Moreover, a producing YqiG increases biohydrogen productivity by 1.5-fold over wild-type. It can be concluded that YqiG is important in glycolysis; thus the product of yqiG pseudogene is an essential proteins during hydrogen metabolism in E. coli.
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